By Mike Feinstein, Member
LA County County Council

The November 2006 General Elections featured sixty-two Green candidates from nineteen counties, running for offices like Governor and U.S. Senate, down to local offices like fire, water and planning districts.

Twenty-three Greens ran in partisan state and federal races and thirty-nine in municipal, county and special districts. Led by Gayle McLaughlin in Richmond and Jane Kim in San Francisco, nineteen of these thirty-nine were elected (48.7%), including twelve of the fifteen incumbents that sought re-election. Eight other incumbents did not run, including one (Tim Fitzmaurice, Santa Cruz City Council), that was prevented from doing so by local term limits.

The combination of Green victories and retiring incumbents left at 50 the number of California Greens holding elected office after the November election.

Among them 17 are members of city councils, three of college board of trustees, nine of local school boards; five of rent boards; one of a transit board, five on water boards; seven on planning groups/ community/ neighborhood councils; and one fire board member, one hospital board member and one community services district.

Richmond

The highest office was won by Richmond City Councilmember Gayle McLaughlin, who ascended to Mayor two years after being elected to the City Council – and stunning the local Bay Area political establishment in the process by defeating a sitting Democratic Party incumbent.

In terms of population (103,000) and significance, Richmond is one of Northern California's most important cities after San Jose, San Francisco, Sacramento and Oakland. It is now also the largest U.S. city ever to have a Green Mayor (previously Santa Monica, pop. 90,000 was the largest). McLaughlin's victory against incumbent mayor Irma Anderson – who brazenly accepted and spent $110,000 from Chevron Oil, Pacific Gas and Electric and other corporate interests during her campaign - sent political shock waves across the Bay Area by showcasing the Green Party's organizational maturity and strong progressive values.

These values were a strong threat to the Chevron Corporation, which owns one of the largest refineries in the state in Richmond, and is also the city's largest local employer. However, only a very small percent of Chevron employees are Richmond residents, while the refinery is notorious for pollution, health & safety violations.

In the mayor’s race, Chevron went far beyond simply funding the incumbent, also funding more than twenty 'hit pieces' on McLaughlin. Lacking any 'dirt' on her, they simply accused her of irresponsibility for wanting more taxes from Chevron. Indeed, McLaughlin supported local Measure T, which would have generated $8 million a year in Chevron taxes for Richmond's many needs.

So Chevron wrapped Measure T around McLaughlin's campaign (although she was not the Measure's author) and attacked both her and Measure T with fury, three or four hit pieces a week. Chevron succeeded in defeating Measure T 58% to 42%, as it had no organized campaign, and Chevron exploited its weakness and a general confusion about it among the voters.

On the other hand Gayle McLaughlin won the election for Mayor, "in spite of Chevron’s assault, machinations, lies and scare tactics,” said McLaughlin. “We’ve learned in Richmond that one good way to defeat the flood of hit pieces against a Green (or progressive) candidate, is to uphold our principles, and at the same time invest everything we have in time and people, developing a long grassroots campaign.”

Indeed McLaughlin's campaign started walking precincts nine months before the election. By early March, volunteers were walking for “Gayle for Mayor” every week, non-stop, until November. It was exhausting but also very productive. At the same time, true to her Green principles, she refused corporate contributions, yet still raised $28,000.

It was this hard work combined with McLaughlin's positive reputation in the community -- her great standing on the City Council, the respect of her colleagues, and the good policies advanced in her short time in office -- that enabled her to win.

Brave Riverside Couple Fight UpHill Battle Against Corporate Giants – See Page 10

Gayle McLaughlin, seen above is the newly elected Green Mayor of Richmond, CA. She beat incumbent mayor Irma Anderson, who shamelessly accepted at least $110,000 from Chevron Oil, Pacific Gas and Electric and other corporate interests in an attempt to silence the demands of the people of Richmond for accountability from its worst corporate polluters.

Continued on Page 2

Green Party of California
P.O Box 2828
Sacramento, CA
95812

Place Stamp Here

Jane Kim, above, won first place in a race for 3 seats on the San Francisco School Board.
McLaughlin to withstand the attacks and win the election. She finished first with 37.5% in a three-way race, 242 votes ahead of the incumbent who had 36.3%, followed by a third-place finisher at 25.7%.

Of all the challenges facing McLaughlin and the community of her supporters, there is not one more pressing than stopping the endemic street violence of this city”, she said.

The roots of this violence lay in part in the city’s long-term economic downturn. Richmond is a classic working-class industrial city fallen upon hard times. During World War II, many African-Americans migrated to Richmond to work in building “Liberty Ships” at the large shipyard. Many of them were women, which is why this is the site for the “Rosie the Riveter” memorial today.

But that was sixty years ago. The shipyard has long since shut down, leaving Chevron as the largest employer, but with few locals hired, and with few opportunities overall for local jobs, violence and a torn community are a result. Even though other cities with more resources and longer political ex-

experience have not been able to find a way out of this kind of urban decline, McLaughlin argues that for Richmond, it is an imperative. “We must lead the city away from this nightmare that literally bleeds its residents and frightens investors,” she said. “This violence is rooted in poverty, poor schools, unemployment, drugs, gangs and the habit of easy trigger relaxations.”

Seeking to halve Richmond’s street violence in half by 2010, McLaughlin proposed creating community policing, a Richmond Youth Corps, mentoring for parolees, support for high-school graduation and support for local small businesses, along with regional cooperation with neighboring cities to long-term recidivism around support groups, mental health counseling services, education opportunities and supportive housing.

A longtime activist from a Chicago union family, McLaughlin was first elected to the Richmond City Council on a shoestring budget campaign. At the time, it was considered by some to be a big upset. Now she’s Mayor. And while her campaign helped build the local Green party, McLaughlin has made it clear that she is there govern for the community, and that she’ll bring a Green perspective appropriate for the community. “We are indelibly marked by the tones of Green,” she said, “and the Green tone of the Richmond Mayor will need to reflect the realities of our geography and people”.

San Francisco

Across the Bay in San Francisco, 29-year-old Jane Kim shocked the city’s political establishment as the swept to first place among 15 candidates for three seats for the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) Board of Education.

By Larry Cafiero

Nine months, more than 10,000 miles and nearly 270,000 votes later, the Green Party campaign for Insurance Commissioner can easily be deemed a success on many levels. We raised the important issues in an Insurance Commissioner’s race where the candidates for the two major parties -- a Democrat who talked more about his weight loss than about policy, and a Republican who spent $11 million of his own money -- chose to trade barbs rather than discuss ideas.

Our campaign chose higher ground. We repeatedly talked about the need for universal health care, the urgency in fixing the bipartisan workers’ comp “reform” of 2004 (if not 2004, then the unequivocal necessity for consumer advocacy in the Department of Insurance, and the need for alternative therapies to be covered by a reluctant insurance industry more concerned with company profit than with the public’s well-being. Our campaign brought innovation to the race by proposing a pay-at-the-pump liability insurance program that would insure all drivers in California.

This campaign stood with chiropractic practitioners, massage therapists and acupuncturists in advocating that they should get a fair shake from an insurance industry that is too quick to “cut and drug” patients when non-invasive therapies would suffice.

While our campaign may have fallen short of what many would consider “the prize” -- leading the Department on Insurance -- our advocacy on behalf of injured workers, on behalf of universal health care, on behalf of insurance coverage for alternative therapies and on behalf of consumer advocacy in the Department of Insurance, resonated with people I had the privilege of addressing and meeting during the course of the campaign, and resonated with voters who heard our message through the cacophony of bipartisan mud-slinging. This campaign did not end on Election Day.
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Oakland

Just south of Berkeley, Aimee Alli- 
son came tantalizingly close to winning 
an Oakland City Council seat, receiving 
46.6% of the vote in a spirited effort 
to topple incumbent Councilmember Pat 
Kernighan, an establishment politician 
backed by the Oakland Chamber of Commerce and corporate real estate 
developer interests.

Allison combined a focus on af-
fordable housing together with a com-
mitment-based economic development 
approach, that included better integrat-
ing Oakland’s $30 billion/year port into 
the local tax base. She also campaigned 
in favor of Measure O, which was 
passed by voters, that enacts Instant 
Run-Off Voting for Oakland municipal 
elections.

Hurt by a low turnout owing to a 
lackluster Democratic gubernatorial 
campaign and to the fact that Oakland’s 
Mayor-elect Ron Dellums was already 
elected in June and therefore not on the 
ballot, Allison’s strong progressive campaign 
nevertheless helped to trans-
form Oakland’s political landscape, in-
jecting new progressive political energy.

Oakland resident Rebecca Kaplan was overwhelmingly re-elected to the 

### Berkeley

In Berkeley, Dona Spring was re-
elected for the fifth time, since 
her first being elected in 1992. At the comple-
tion of this new term, she will have

serviced for 18 years on the Berkeley 
City Council - the longest for a Green 
City Councilmember in the U.S. and 
second most for any Green officeholder 
(behind David Conley, Board of Super-
visors, Douglas County, Wisconsin 
(1986-2008)).

In its endorsement of Spring, the 
Alameda County Green Party had this 
motivational message:

Dear Dona,

We are writing to thank you for your service to the Berkeley community over the last 18 years, and to express our support of your continued service on the Berkeley City Council.

We believe that your dedication to the environment and social justice has been instrumental in making Berkeley a better place to live. Your work on issues such as affordable housing, public transportation, and environmental protection have made a significant difference in the lives of Berkeley residents.

As we look to the future, we hope that you will continue to work towards a more just and sustainable future for Berkeley. Thank you again for your service and dedication to the community.

Sincerely,
The Berkeley Green Party

...continued on Page 3

### Sebastopol

The re-election of Larry Robinson to a third term means Greens in Sebastopol will now retain a majority on the City Council until at least 2008, rejoining fellow Greens Craig Litwin and Sam Pierce on the five-member Council, and making it eight straight years with a Green majority (Stephen preceded Pierce from 2000-2004).

Thinking globally while running locally, Robinson’s campaign website began: “In the coming years, auto use will be increasingly expensive, as will other forms of energy. We can begin preparing now for that future by en-
couraging city-centered development which is less resource intensive and provides the opportunity for people to work, shop and play close to where they live. I believe that together we can imagine and create a sustainable future.” Apparently voters agree.

Over the six years of Green major-
ity on the Council, Robinson already lists the following achievements:

- adoption of a mandatory green building program
- a living wage ordinance
- two city-driven affordable co-
housing projects (one rental and one ownership)
- an affordable housing impact fee 
on new developments
- a draft ordinance (which I expect will be adopted early in 2007) to require photovoltaic installations on all new construction
- the most fiscally responsible (sustainable) budget of all the cities in Sonoma County; and
- a commitment to reduce our nu-
icap greenhouse gas emissions by 30% (from a base year of 2000 by 2008); it now appears that we will reach a 42% reduction and save $25,000 a year in the process.

And with the state of California debating how it will meet its own more modest greenhouse gas reduction targets, how will Sebastopol reach its lofty goals? Robinson explains: “We will meet this goal through a com-
prehensive planning effort which will have the Green majority leading the way.”
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married elected Green couple in the United States.

Nineteen 2006 renter households are regulated under the Low Income Stabilization Ordinance (rent control) and Board. Several times over the past 25 years since its inception, Berkeley’s rent control program has shielded tens of thousands of city renters from dra-
matic, unexpected rent increases, in-
cluding, most recently, during the dotcom economic surge between 1998 
and 2001, when rent levels in the Area skyrocketed. Green Rent 
Board members intend to continue that protection.
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Green’s Use TV, Radio - Internet in ‘06 Election

Green statewide, federal candidates run serious television, radio advertising campaigns; Strategy reaches many more voters than traditional campaigning

By Crescenzo Vellucci

Two years ago, when I first began working as a strategist and media relations advisor to Green Party political campaigns, I was told by a longtime Green – who was responding to my plan to crank up a free and paid media plan – that Greens would never “do” advertising campaigns.

“We’re the Green Party. We do talking,” said this volunteer. My response was that tabling and flyingering, as the primary mode of running statewide or federal campaigns (as compared to very small/local campaigns) became passé about a century or so ago when villages were rural and small. In other words, these Greens had never done well for Thomas Paine in 1776 but would not in 2006. Greens, in short, have to change their methods.

Progressives may complain about media coverage – or lack of it – but part of the problem is that we don’t often act like candidates or a political party. Of course we don’t want to act too much like the corporate-controlled Democrats and Republicans, but we can use the mass media to get the word out about what we stand for, and how our candidates are different.

Beyond that, running real campaigns, which include paid media in television and radio mediums – where most voters get most of their news, according to polls – would give us instant credibility with the news media and the public.

Thus, in 2004, I managed to convince two Green congressional candidates to run radio spots. Both did extremely well in the campaigns. This year, 2006, I worked with a number of Green Party state and federal candidates, who took it a step further, not only running radio campaigns but also television campaigns this election season.

It was the first time, I've been told, California statewide candidates, and maybe congressional candidates, ran television campaigns.

The candidates did not spend the tens of millions of dollars Democratic and Republican party candidates poured into advertising. Greens did, through a combination of creative production and targeted placement, managed to get their message to voters more than before.

Peter Camejo, in his last bid for public office, spent a combined $7,000 on paid television and radio spots throughout California in his run for Secretary of State in ’06

Forrest Hill, seen above, ran very effective and ‘smart’ ads on TV in the Bay area in his campaign for Secretary of State in ’06.

Among the points made by Camejo in his advertising campaign was that voters are “wasting their vote” if they voted for Democrat Phil Angelides for Governor other than Camejo because if they truly “wanted change” they would vote for Green Party candidates.

Camejo may have been the first Green Party state candidate for governor to run paid television spots, but he was not the first state candidate. Forrest Hill, who ran for Secretary of State, produced a series of radio and television spots early in the campaign, running them in several markets. His 30-second spot focused on how much a Green could accomplish and that people should vote for him. The ad showed Hill running from the Capitol, to the sea, to the valley – a somewhat humorous spot patterned after Paul Wellstone’s free-wheeling ads.

At least one other Green Party state candidate ran radio spots – on Air America and progressive stations state-wide. Larry Cafiero, candidate for Insurance Commissioner, pointed out in the ads that he was not “bought and paid for” by the insurance companies and would be a consumer Insurance Commissioner.

Still other statewide Green candidates did produce spots to be shown on their websites and the internet.

On the federal candidate side, Jeff Kravitz, a law professor and civil rights attorney running for Congress in the 5th District (Sacramento), also used the mass media to his advantage. His extremely hard-hitting radio spots ran dozens of times on progressive talk stations, and his television ads ran close to 80 on broadcast and cable stations (including CNN, Headline News, CNBC).

Kravitz’ television ad, again using the lesse expensive 15 second format to increase frequency, featured reverse type on black screen with the words: “Nearly 3,000 US Dead in Iraq.” He then added: “Rep. Doris Matsui has their blood on her hands.” In reference to the Democratic Party incumbent’s record of voting to fund the war although she claims to oppose it.

The dramatic-looking spot drew criticism from hardline Democrats in the district who said it was “inflammatory.” In other words it

Jeff Kravitz, above, a Constitutional Law Professor and Attorney of Sacramento used TV ads to effectively get in the face of pro-war Democrats. His ads were creative, confrontational and brought the message straight to the voters.

They caught their attention. Kravitz’s response was: “It damn well better be inflammatory. Those ads are expensive and a good friend of mine’s son is in Iraq, I want him home now.”

Bob Vizzard, who ran a campaign for State Senate, and Jerry Fritts, running for State Assembly, also put together radio advertising campaigns in their Northern California districts with virtually no budgets. There were undoubtedly other Greens who ran radio, and maybe even television, campaigns throughout the November campaign.

Sometimes they produced spots but only featuring them on their websites.

Byron DeLear and Donna Warren were among those that ran effective media campaigns from Southern California. A person was hard pressed to not notice the presence almost every week of another appearance in the media somewhere of the DeLear campaign for Congress. And Donna Warren put together an extremely professional, moving and impressive political advertisement that appeared on her website and in other locations across the Internet.

NEW STUDY SHOWS NUCLEAR WINTER LIKELY TO RESULT EVEN FROM LIMITED NUCLEAR WAR.

Finally, some good news: "The Global Warming," warming is reduced through the indirect and direct warming climate change and global warming campaigns.

NO NEED TO FRUSTRATE LESS!

We can kill birds with one less war, less global warming!

LESS KYOTO, MORE HIRISHIMA AND NAGASAKI!

IRAQ IS NOT A MIDDLE EAST COUNTRY!
By Erica Martenson
Napa, California

As a consumer who is extremely concerned about genetically modified (GM) crops and wanted to do something about it, I found an ally in the Napa County Green party. The Napa Green party played an essential role in the founding of a new, non-profit organization, P.I.N.A. (Pesticide Information & Networking Association), to educate the local community about the health, environmental, and economic risks of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and to push for a moratorium on the planting of GMOs in Napa County. The Napa Green Party has increased the exposure of P.I.N.A., and P.I.N.A. has done the same for the Napa Green Party. By working together, we not only support each other’s goals but also heighten each other’s presence in the community.

For the past year, what started out as a curiosity about genetically modified crops became, as I learned more about them, almost an obsession. When I discovered that already four GM crops (soy, corn, canola, and cotton) have been widely planted in the U.S. and are on their way into about 75% of our processed foods, and that these crops pose irreversible hazards to the environment, as well as a serious health risk, I felt angry and helpless. We must do something to turn this trend around.

Overwhelmed by the magnitude of the problem, I believed that I could at least act at the local level to inform others and to protect our local agriculture by working toward a moratorium on GM crops in Napa County, as they have already done in Mendocino, Marin, Trinity, and Santa Cruz counties. At that time, I hadn’t thought of starting a new organization; I was actually hoping that an existing organization would tackle the issue.

After approaching the Napa Sierra Club and getting no support, I approached the Napa Green party, as a registered Green wanting the local chapter to get involved with anything about this problem. One of the barriers to getting support on this particular issue is the fact that our mainstream media has been very reluctant to report about this. I knew that people who are generally informed know almost nothing about it. Moreover, it’s a complex issue—one that can’t be summarized in a few minutes and one that is difficult for people to immediately wrap their minds around.

Therefore, it was a stroke of luck when I walked into that Green party meeting and met Bob Moore (Napa Green Party Secretary) and Lynne Serpe, Deputy Director of New America Foundation, who studied genetics and was informed on GMOs and their risks, and who agreed that this is a serious problem that the Napa Green Party should help address.

It was eventually decided that, although the Napa Green Party would place its interest in a separate organization needed to be formed that would have GMOs as its sole focus. Consequently, Bob Moore (Napa Green Party Secretary) and I (Green Party member and now on the Central Committee of the Napa Green Party) formed P.I.N.A. The Napa Green Party was P.I.N.A.’s first endorsement and is now listed with a link to its website on the P.I.N.A. website. In addition, both chairs of the Napa Green Party, Lowell Downey and Chris Malan, are P.I.N.A. members. This month, when I was presenting before the board of the Carolyn Park Nature Center and before members of the local Unitarian Church to discuss GMOs and gain more community support for P.I.N.A. and its mission, I was asked both times how the organization got started. So, the Napa Green Party came up in both of these meetings.

Likewise, recently, when the Napa Green Party hosted Peter Camejo during his gubernatorial campaign, Bob Moore mentioned all of the local organizations represented that evening, including P.I.N.A., and all of us were there distributing literature. These are examples of the synergy that exists between the two organizations, and I am certain there will many more opportunities to support each other in the future. P.I.N.A. is in line with several of the Green Party’s Ten Key Values. It represents Grassroots Democracy, as local citizens have come together to address a problem at the local level. It also represents Decentralization. Because the state and federal governments have refused to stand up to the biotech corporations and regulate GMOs, P.I.N.A. is working to have them regulated at the local level to protect our local agriculture and economy. It represents Ecological Wisdom, because the GMOs that are currently being unleashed into the environment threaten our ecosystem, including native plants and native plant habitats, beneficial insects, birds and wildlife, earthworms, and soil biodiversity. Lastly, it represents Sustainability, because GMOs are not sustainable and run contrary to the growing interest in organic and sustainable agriculture, due to the increased herbicide and pesticide use in which they result, the contamination of conventional and organic crops by GM pollen and volunteer seedlings, and so forth.

The Napa Green Party has been instrumental in the formation of P.I.N.A. and its efforts to address the problem of GMOs at the local level. There are many more local organizations that are working toward the Green Party’s Ten Key Values that our local Green party could work with and support, both to help each other advance these common goals and to publicize each other. Other Green parties can do the same.

IRV Wins in Oakland, Davis – Around US in Fall 2006

By Steven Hill, Director
New America Foundation

SACRAMENTO, CA -- Instant Runoff Voting (IRV), an idea advanced by the New America Foundation to give voters more influence and more choices in elections, is slowly making inroads in California and elsewhere as four cities strongly approved November 7 ballot measures supporting the idea.

In California, where San Francisco became the state’s first Instant Runoff Voting city in 2004, voters in the cities of Oakland and Davis approved the idea, which would allow voters to rank their first, second, and third choices for office. Oakland overwhelmingly supported the measure by 68% of the returns, meaning voters will use Instant Runoff Voting for all local offices in November 2008. The Davis measure was an advisory recommendation.

Elsewhere, voters in Minneapolis passed their ballot measure with 54% support. And in Pierce County, Washington, voters supported the move to IRV for their partisan county elections with 54% support.

New America staff Lynne Serpe and Steven Hill played a key role as advisors to several of these campaigns. New America was joined by other organizations, including FairVote (www.fairvote.org), a nonprofit, non-partisan organization that is the national clearinghouse on electoral systems like instant runoff voting.

The elections clearly affirm a growing trend toward Instant Runoff Voting as a response to public frustration with unresponsive and unaccountable government leaders. Instant Runoff Voting promotes a positive, campaign-oriented process that opens the process to candidates and ideas that may not be viable in a traditional winner-take-all election.

"Clearly there is strong interest among voters in political reforms that open up the political system and make voters feel like their vote counts," said Lynne Serpe, Deputy Director of New America’s Political Reform Program. "What was interesting about the four victories for IRV was that they happened in four very different locations. Oakland is a very large working class city; Minneapolis is a liberal Midwestern city; Pierce County is mostly a rural county with large numbers of independent voters; and Davis is a small, university town. Yet in every place Instant Runoff Voting provided a unique solution to problems with representative government and democracy."

Instant Runoff Voting already is used in San Francisco, which on November 7 had its third election using Instant Runoff Voting for local offices. Burlington, Vermont elected its mayor using Instant Runoff Voting in March 2006.

For more information on the election and Instant Runoff Voting go to http://www.newamerica.net/programs/political_reform.

The New America Foundation is a nonprofit, post-partisan, public policy institute whose purpose is to bring exceptionally promising new voices and new ideas to the fore of our nation’s public discourse. Relying on a venture capital approach, the Foundation invests in outstanding individuals and policy solutions that transcend the conventional political spectrum. Headquarters in our nation’s capital, New America also has offices in California and New York.

For more information about New America or the Political Reform Program, go to their website located at: www.newamerica.net/california
IRV is a Green Movement To Be Reckoned With

By Orval Osborne, San Luis Obispo

IRV is a movement! Who would have thought a numerical electoral process change could be so interesting, or so important? The Green Party needs Instant Runoff Voting (IRV) (also known as Ranked Choice Voting). Without it, we may be limited to votes of 1-5%. With IRV, Greens might get 25% of first-choice votes. Then we would really shift the debate!

The problem that IRV solves is this: whenever there are more than 2 candidates, voter intent can be betrayed by a plurality system. In other words, one candidate can win, but not represent the majority persuasion. IRV ensures the winning candidate represents the majority voter intent. The benefits of IRV include less negative campaigning and an end to “spoiling,” freeing voters to embrace 3rd Party candidates.

IRV and ranked choice voting initiatives won big on November 7th: 69% in Oakland, 56% in Davis, 53% in Pierce County (WA) and 65% in Minneapolis (MN). IRV averaged more than 62% in jurisdictions of more than a half million people. Since instant runoff voting’s groundbreaking win in March 2002 in San Francisco, IRV has won all eight of its ballots in cities and counties. The wins in Davis and Minneapols marked the first ballot measure wins for choice voting, the proportional voting version of IRV, in a half-century.

Please note: www.fairvote.org is the fantastically informative web site of the Center for Voting and Democracy. You can learn all about IRV there. Flash animations show you how it works. There is the model bylaws motion to implement it in any organization. Links provide you with legal, educational and promotional resources on instant runoff voting. Steven Hill, who tells this story better than anybody else, has a new book out: “10 Steps to Repair Democracy – An Owner’s Manual for Concerned Citizens.”

What can you do locally? At the SLO Greens monthly meeting, I made a presentation on IRV. The folks who attended all said they understood IRV much better and would be able to explain it to others.

For example, in the 2006 SLO City Mayor race, the winner of four candidates got 45%. In the 1992 SLO City Mayor race, a center-left candidate beat 2 center-right candidates. The point is that IRV is not for the left, or for the right; it is for majority voter intent. That is why the Motion Picture Academy uses IRV.

The San Luis Obispo (SLO) County Greens are developing a campaign for the Mayoral race in the City of SLO to adopt IRV. We would work in a nonpartisan manner with all allies to achieve the goal.

Step 1. Educate and recruit the support of registered Greens. (Jan 2007 to June 2007)

Step 2. Recruit allies among academicians, especially Cal Poly professors of Political Science, then other departments. Also Cuesta College. (Jan 2007 to June 2007).

Step 3. Educate the politically active local groups such as League of Women Voters, Residents for Quality Neighborhoods, local Republican and Democratic clubs, Sierra Club, Rotary, Kiwanis, student groups and clubs etc. The Tribune, the major County newspaper, is perhaps the most important entity to persuade. (July 2007 to March 2008 and continuing).

Step 4. Ask the SLO City Council to put an IRV for Mayor initiative on the ballot of November 2008. If they refuse, consider if we should circulate citizen petitions to get it on the ballot. (Spring 2008.)

Further strategies: Would the Cal Poly student body government be interested in electing by IRV?
With the elections now over, the question of the impeachment of George Bush and Dick Cheney now moves to center stage.

Though Nancy Pelosi, the recently elected Speaker of the House is on record declaring that impeachment is “off the table,” polls by Gallup and Newsweek showed that 51% of the American people think impeachment should be part of the national agenda.

John Conyers (D-MI), the newly elected chair of the House Judiciary Committee, has introduced legislation calling for impeachment hearings by a select Congressional Committee (HR-635). It has 38 Co-Sponsors, including elected officials from California.

A parallel resolution for impeachment (Assembly Joint Resolution 39 - AJR39) has been introduced in the California Assembly by Assemblymember John Gatto (D-Los Angeles). It is co-authored by Mark Leno (D-San Francisco), and has six additional co-sponsors.

Voters here in California passed ballot measures calling for impeachment — Measure J in San Francisco and Measure H in Berkeley — passed by margins of 3-2 and more than 2-1, respectively. (Both Cindy Sheehan and Carol Brouillet have authored by Mark Leno (D-San Francisco), and has six additional co-sponsors.

City Councils in many cities in California, including Fairfax, Oakland and Sebastopol, have passed resolutions calling for impeachment.

Inspired by voter support for numerous impeachment resolutions on the November 7 ballot, the impeachment movement has resolved to make impeachment the main issue for progressive America in 2007. Indeed, there seems to be a rush to see who will fill the vacuum of leadership on the issue left by leaders of the Democratic Party.

To date, however, no formidable coalition of groups has formed to provide solid, independent leadership nationwide to respond to the cry for impeachment.

“We have lots of grassroots activity, but no national coalition on impeachment,” explained Krissey Keefer, the Green Party candidate who ran for Congress against Nancy Pelosi in the 8th district in San Francisco.

“The passage of Prop J shows that a huge majority want impeachment,” Keefer noted. “We need to tie this together. We need a national organization, but with a focus on Pelosi, with sit-ins in her office, and the like.”

“We need to get over the glamour of her being the power person in Congress,” Keefer concluded.

Across the bay, newly re-elected Green Party City Councilor Dona Spring (Berkeley - District Four) explained why Prop H passed so overwhelmingly in her city. “When you have an enlightened and educated group of voters, they will do the obvious thing that needs to be done to correct the disastrous direction that this country his headed in,” she said. “The course that Bush is taking is a disaster for the country and the world.” “It is such an evil precedent that a leader can lie and start a war on the basis of these lies, and attack a country that was not attacking us. There is no self-defense possibility to impeach. Failing to impeach him will increase the likelihood that we may get someone capable of such crimes again,” Keefer said.

And, Carol Brouillet, the Green Party candidate for Congress in the nearby 14th CD (Palo Alto), said she believes Pelosi has a “duty and obligation to impeach the Bush regime. Silence in the face of an avalanche of evidence of criminality would be a betrayal of their oath of office, of the people of this country, and our hopes for the future.

“The Bush Administration has flagrantly violated our Constitution, trashed the Bill of Rights, launched illegal, immoral wars, lied about matters of utmost importance to the American people. These people are, truly, terrorists on an unprecedented scale. It is up to us, the people, to force our elected representatives to recognize and adhere to their duty and hold top officials accountable for their heinous crimes, that means impeachment,” Brouillet added.

Nancy Pelosi Has Betrayed Her Constituents!

By Crescenzo Vellucci

SAN FRANCISCO (December 8, 2006) The failure of soon-to-be House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-San Francisco) to call for the impeachment of President George W. Bush and members of his administration should be considered a “betrayal” of her oath of office, said two former Green Party congressional candidates today.

Pelosi, who has stated that impeachment is off the table, should “call George Bush before the U.S. Congress to be fully investigated for high crimes and misdemeanors, including crimes against humanity from Baghdad to Biloxi,” said Krissey Keefer, who opposed Pelosi in November as the Green Party candidate in the 8th CD.

Not only did Keefer receive 7.5 percent of the vote running an anti-war campaign with virtually no budget against an incumbent, San Francisco voters also approved Measure J, which asks their representatives to impeach Bush and vice president Dick Cheney.

And, on Sunday, December 10th, San Franciscans held a giant “impeachment rally” at UN Plaza.

“The war in Iraq, the botched response to Hurricane Katrina and the erosion of the Constitution cannot be overlooked. We have the moral responsibility to impeach. Failing to impeach will increase the likelihood that we may get someone capable of such crimes again,” Keefer said.

And, Carol Brouillet, the Green Party candidate for Congress in the nearby 14th CD (Palo Alto), said she believes Pelosi has a “duty and obligation to impeach the Bush regime. Silence in the face of an avalanche of evidence of criminality would be a betrayal of their oath of office, of the people of this country, and our hopes for the future.

“The Bush Administration has flagrantly violated our Constitution, trashed the Bill of Rights, launched illegal, immoral wars, lied about matters of utmost importance to the American people. These people are, truly, terrorists on an unprecedented scale. It is up to us, the people, to force our elected representatives to recognize and adhere to their duty and hold top officials accountable for their heinous crimes, that means impeachment,” Brouillet added.
Greens Urge End to Holiday Fur Sales, Charge Cruelty to Animals

**By Crescenzo Vellucci**

SAN FRANCISCO (December 5, 2000) Banning the sale of all animal fur is a social justice issue that would save the lives of animals, reduce pollution and end exploitation of workers many of whom children, according to Green Party activists here in Northern California who are asking holiday shoppers to shun the product during the holiday season.

The Green Party of California is the only state political party that has a platform plank specifically calling for an end to animal fur sales. And, fur traders are increasingly raising their fur in Third World Countries and hiring children to slaughter fur-bearing animals, including rabbits, dogs and cats, according to the Animal Advocacy Working Group of the San Francisco Green Party.

"We deplore the factory-farm conditions under which animals are raised and then killed, and we deplore the experience of these exploited children, who are told that the only way to make a living is by inflicting terrible pain and violence against other living beings," said Chin Chi of the Greens' animal advocacy group.

Animals, said Chi, are gassed, have their necks broken and electrocuted so their fur can be used on coats, lingerie and even fake eyelashes for actresses. Fur coats are rare, but fur trim has found its way into many products purchased by unsuspecting consumers.

Although many major retailers have, after decades of battles with anti-fur activists, reduced or even stopped selling fur, many prominent retailers including Bloomingdale's, Neiman Marcus and Saks Fifth Avenue continue to promote fur.

Fur coats are rare, but fur trim has found its way into many products purchased by unsuspecting consumers.

"Our hope is that other stores will stop the sale of furs and follow the lead of the fashion retailers of conscience and courage, including J. Crew, Nordstrom, Ralph Lauren, and Ann Taylor. The continued success of their businesses will show the world that human beings can ensure and improve their own material life without hurting other living beings," added Chi. The San Francisco Green Party was the first organization to endorse a proposal to ban the sale of fur in San Francisco in 2001. About 2,000 postcards supporting this ban poured into the offices of San Francisco's supervisors about the time that former SF Supervisors Matt Gonzalez, who supported and promoted the ban, ran, and narrowly lost, for SF Mayor.

Animals such as this skinned rabbit, above, are gassed, poisoned or have their neck's broken in order to bear fur for commercial human use. The Animal Advocacy Working Group of the San Francisco Greens have taken strong action against such practices.

"We have photographs and "labeled" with our names on chest-stickered. We were then placed on buses and waited hours for the police to decide where they were going to take which arrests. Later came the personal searches and more long hours waiting in various stark cold holding cells. At 2:00 PM those of us taken to the Van Nuys station were each given a wool blanket and assigned to a bunk cell. Every fifteen minutes or so a guard came to call the next arrestee, emphatically slamming the barred steel doors in the process, jolting us from any slumber that may have been anticipated. With uncertainty about when we would be released, and only Fox News to watch on TV (can we claim this as cruel and unusual punishment?), many of us used the opportunity to share and bond in sisterhood. After about 18 hours in LAPD custody, the last of us to be released were very happy to see friendly faces, sunlight and trees, to have agency over their own actions, soap to wash our hands and privacy when watching the TV! Miguel Aguilar and others from the union were there to greet us, as were my friends from the South Central Farm, some of whom were also arrested in solidarity with the workers.

A few weeks later the Los Angeles City Council passed an ordinance, subsequently signed by Mayor Villaraigosa, mandating the hotels along Century Blvd. to pay their workers a living wage of $10.64 an hour! I believe our collective action gave the elected leaders the courage to do the right thing. The inconvenience of being arrested was worth it! Justice is served when the poor are lifted out of poverty and the wealthy are called to accountability. We are grateful to Patrick Meighan for creating the working relationship with LAANE to make this happen. We can all be proud that the Green Party has taken a stand for such human rights!
No one expected that Richard Pombo would be the sole California Representative to lose their seat. He was a 7-term Congressman, a rancher in a district with a strong rural constituency, a Republican with a 6 point Republican advantage, and the Chairperson of the House Committee on Resources.

Yet, when it was over, he had been soundly trounced by Jerry McNerney, a liberal Democrat from the suburban edge of the district, a wind energy consultant who struggled to build a business, a political novice, a man about whom Bay Area Democrats said “he can’t win.” Pombo was confronted with a perfect storm of opposition: changing demographics, a growing Latino environmental movement that Pombo never really understood. I will describe what happened from my personal viewpoint of a dedicated blogger (PomboWatch) and try to determine if it has meaning for the GPCA at this point in time.

My first hint of a growing Republican opposition to Pombo came in December, 2005 when picked up; the phone and Pete McCluskey introduced himself. His first objective was to find another Republican to run against Pombo. When his favored Republican declined to run, McCluskey did as he always had done, thrust himself into the fray, established a residence in Lodi and announced that he would run against Pombo.

Early in 2006, the Sierra Club and Defenders of Wildlife decided that Pombo, environmental enemy #1, was vulnerable. They made him a target and started to build an organizational plan to defeat him. They spent over $1.5 Million on the campaign, a cell-phone camera, embarrassing anti-Pombo demonstrations, can-phone calls, fund raising dinners, etc. They even managed to capture a Pombo volunteer event on a cell-phone camera, embarrassing when no one showed up.

Organizations like the Defenders of Wildlife could not coordinate with the McNerney campaign but everyone could feed information to the blogs and the blog owners could, and did, coordinate their activities, deciding who would take on which issues. We also found that it was very effective to help mainstream reporters develop a story and then to use the blogs to amplify it as soon as it came out. Had the blogs broken these stories first, the major papers would not have touched it, but this way, it worked well for all. Greens need to learn how to exploit this.

The last piece was the growing involvement of Hispanic voters. Even before the immigration rallies last spring, the Hispanics of the district were disillusioned with Pombo and his vote for HR 4437. When Pete McCluskey marched at the head of the March 2, they started to register voters as DTS so that they could vote for Pete in the Primary. That fact never changed. When McCluskey’s Revolt of the Elders Committee fed $50,000 to the Clean Water Action Project to register voters in San Joaquin County, they ended up with over 10,000 new voters of which nearly 6,000 were Latinos. Most of them continued to register DTS. A significant portion McNerney’s 10,000 vote margin, came from this effort.

Ultimately, Pombo lost for reasons that lay in his own character. Late in the The Washington Post labeled Pombo’s campaign as one of the 10 worst run of any incumbent. Samuel Anderson, Manteca City Council Candidate, wrote in a Stockton Record blog that “he sort of got the idea that he was above the challenger and lost due to the ‘Club Rub’ mentality and good’ole boys clicks (sic) over.”

There are a number of things that Greens can learn from this. The environmental issues were a major part of this campaign. The Republican media machine is still trying to downplay that fact. When environmental issues become the campaign’s focus, Greens should not take the back seat to anyone.

There is no area of the State where environmental issues are more important than the San Joaquin Valley. It has some of the worst air pollution in the nation. It has significant problems with water usage and a Delta with failing levees. It also has a growing, Latino voter base that is deliberately staying away from the major parties. Recent work by the Public Policy Institute of California showed that Latinos feel more strongly about the environment than the average Californian voter. Greens need to take advantage of the convergence of these two trends and devote serious energy to organizing in the San Joaquin Valley. From Stockton to Fresno to Bakersfield, this valley is ready for a Green Revolution.

Environmental naysayer, obstructionist and Bushco stooge, Richard Pombo, above was soundly defeated in his bid for re-election by a liberal Democrat strong on environmental concerns.

Los Angeles Greens Launch Petra Kelly Scholarships

The Los Angeles Greens launched the Los Angeles Greens' Petra Kelly Scholarship thanks to a generous donation from one of our members. Honoring the inspirational German Green Party cofounder Petra Kelly, international peace and environmental leader, and cultivating a new generation of young activists, the scholarship awards $500 each to two students graduating from the Los Angeles Unified School District, to offset college expenses for the coming year. To highlight the fact that the Green Party strives for gender balance, the recipients are one male and one female.

The scholarship’s inaugural winners were Rumenisha Bowyer and Carlos Hernandez. Bowyer graduated from the Los Angeles Center for Enriched Studies and is currently attending Cal Poly Pomona. An avid basketball player, she served as a coach and mentor to younger players, as well as breaking the gender barrier in her community’s basketball league.

At King/Drew Magnet High School, Carlos Hernandez sought to allay the racial and cultural conflict in his community by serving as Director of Assemblies and peer mediator. He hopes to continue building dialogue among Los Angeles’ many diverse groups while attending the University of Southern California. Both Hernandez and Bowyer attended Los Angeles Greens’ events over the summer, sharing their stories with local Greens while learning more about the Greens and what we stand for.

In addition to providing these outstanding students with extra funds to help with the costs of tuition, computers, and books, the Petra Kelly Scholarship allows the Los Angeles Greens to share with the young people of Los Angeles the Ten Key Values. "As a former Los Angeles City Council candidate, I hope the Petra Kelly Scholarship will inspire young people, especially women, to consider running for office some day," said Denise Munro Robb, the Los Angeles Greens’ treasurer.

The group plans to throw an annual party to continue funding the scholarship each year. The late Petra Kelly stated, “Greens are neither left nor right—we are in front” — by helping the next generation of leaders attain their goals, the Los Angeles Greens are living up to her words.

More info at losangelesgreens.org

By Wes Rolley, Green Blogger Morgan Hill, California

By Erin Schmidt & Lisa Taylor, Los Angeles Greens
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Riverside County Couple Stand Firm Against Corporate Power

By Don Boring

Donna and Larry Charpied are about as Green as Green gets. Not only that — they are walking their talk. Moving from Santa Barbara to Eagle Moun-
tain in 1982, the Charpieds’ original intention was to grow Jojoba beans in the harsh environment and raise a cash cow — the eponymous plant — and live off the land. They soon realized they could not support themselves in such an environment, and thus sought to protect and preserve the area.

In 1987, the couple decided to lobby the Bureau of Land Management to prevent a proposed dump that would receive upwards of 20,000 tons of trash from Los Angeles County every day for the next 100 years. The devastation that the dump would bring was quite clear. This area became a preserve for several species of endangered species, including the desert tortoise — and was to become a desert oasis to people and nature alike. The dump would destroy this unique environment.

The Charpieds have continued their efforts to save their beloved area, and in the past, donated upwards of $20,000 to various causes. They have continued to fight for the protection of the desert, and have been awarded the Green Angel Award for their efforts.

In 2003, the couple founded the Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice (CCAEJ), a Riverside-based non-profit organization, to provide support and resources to residents in the desert communities. Donna and Larry have been instrumental in the center’s success, and have continued to fight for the protection of the desert.

The Charpieds have been recognized world-wide for their efforts to protect the environment. In 2005, they were named the recipients of the Minerva Hoyt California Desert Conservation Award. This prestigious award is presented in recognition of the leadership and perseverance of this talented couple in leading the campaign to protect the Eagle Mountain dump, a proposed massive garbage dump that is to be built in the area.

For two decades, the Charpieds have devoted untold time, personal finances and energy to the preservation of the California desert. They have fostered partnerships and created a stronger public awareness of the issues. Donna and Larry have raised funds with events such as Rockin’ for Joshua Tree Concert which featured the legendary Eric Burdon; Donna ran for County Supervisor in 1998 to bring light to the Eagle Mountain issue; they are challenging the Metropolitan Water District plan for an Upper Chuckwalla Valley “Water Storage” project, a new round of legal appeals to slay the dragon public awareness of the issues.

These two crusaders for environmental justice deserve the support of the Green rank and file. If you can contribute even $20 to their campaign, it would be greatly appreciated. Larger sums of money; however, to offset their outstanding debt of over $20,000 in legal fees would be an ideal way for a Green Angel to STEP UP and create joy and value, while spearheading a new round of legal appeals to slay the corporate giant once and for all.

For more information, please send to: Donna Charpied, Director CCAEJ - Desert Communities Protection Campaign, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice, PO Box 397, Desert Center CA 92239.
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Announcements

News, Campaigns and Candidates Working

March 2007 Deadline For Partisan Office Party Affiliation

The California Elections Code bars persons registered with one political party within one year of the primary election date from appearing as a listed candidate in another political party's primary for partisan state office. The candidate filing date is 90 days before the primary election. That means if you have identified someone who is currently registered in another political party and who is inclined to join the Green Party, you should encourage them to file before the March 1, 2007 (or at least re-register Decline to State if they are still unaffiliated).

Candidate Training Program Videos Available

DVDs of the Candidate Basics training program held in Petaluma March 19, 2006 are available for distribution to County GPE28099s to use to recruit candidates and as a program resource. The program was designed for prospective CCA members and campaign volunteers. It is based on the pre-dominant types of candidates and campaign volunteers who have no or little previous campaign experience. Topics include: Deciding to be a candidate; Initial filing requirements; Media basics; and Campaign finance issues. Program sessions are about an hour and can be shown in order or separately. For more information, contact Warner Bloomberg CCWG Coordinator at (408) 295-9353 or wsb3attyca@aol.com.

Host Sought For Spring 2007 Candidate Training Program

The CCWG is currently seeking a host for a one-day candidate training program in March or April 2007. Local Green Party members will need to identify a weekend date and location for the program and provide volunteers for helping with the program. The CCWG will provide speakers and materials. The program will be held on the March 2007 Candi-
dates Basics program by focusing in greater detail on selected topics. For more information, contact Warner Bloomberg CCWG Coordinator at the following number: (408) 295-9353 or wsb3attyca@aol.com.

CCWG Challenges YOU to become a GREEN candidate for local, state or national office... START TODAY for '08


Starring Matt Damon, Angelina Jolie, Alec Baldwin, Tammy Blanchard, Billy Crudup Robert De Niro, Keir Dullea, William Hurt, Timothy Hutton, Joe Pesci and others.

Writing credits (WGA)

Eric Roth (written by)

Alleged plot: A historical (fiction?) look at the birth of the CIA, in 1959, through the Bay of Pigs incident in 1961. With fictional characteriza-
tions of members of the Skull and Bones Society of Yale University.

Review By Don Boring

This movie is largely DISINFORMATION at its worst. I'll concede the CIA and by implication the BUSH family was NOT TIGHT with the NAZI's prior to and during WWII which by many historical standards is a lie.

In addition, the IMPLICATION of this movie is that the CIA was NOT complicit in letting NAZI's into the US after the war. That is against as a matter of historical record - many low level NA-ZI's were brought into the US after the war. How do I know? For one... again... the historical record bears this out the CIA ran several fronts groups through the administration of Richard Nixon (actually some still exist today) that encouraged and 'arranged' for even war criminals to enter the US after the war, during the 50's and yes... even till 9/11, 2001.

How do you think the German air- plane pilots, boat pilots, truck drivers from the Florida Flight schools and Mohammed Atta got into the country to pull off 'the act so nefarious we can not ask any meaningful questions about it'.

These German Culture associations are still extant today and like I said...

can YOU say 911? Thanks to Dave Emory from 'For The Record' for the background material on how the flight school operators got into the US. See Dave Emory's blog on the Internet at: http://btssummary.blogspot.com/

Further, I pity the minds of the poor young saps that watch this movie and think that the Bay of Pigs invasion was caused by a COWARD and TRAITOR. Again,... my understanding of history and if you check, your research will bear this out too - The Bay of Pigs went bad because Kennedy wouldn't order AIR SUP- PORT for the invasion force because he felt it would leave too much of a US finger print on the event.

All the DRAMA and the double dealing, complicity with murder, political assassinations and all that is pretty much well characterized but as I left the theater I kept asking myself what the REAL MESSAGES were in this movie. It GLOSED OVER the role of the CIA in overthrowing populerly elected left-wing governments (of which there were so many instances we would be hard pressed to list them here) while centering the whole thing as a power trip parade around the issues of what it would be like to be a major player at CIA in its days of inception. As a thrill ride and adrenalin rush for the geekier crowd or a cerebral look at how cool it would be to be a 'real' James Bond for the young person. I would say the acting I give the movie 5 stars. For intrigue and a look at duplicity in writing a script to dis-inform the public I give in my understanding the BUSH family was complicit in letting NAZI's into the US after the war. That is against as a matter of historical record - many low level NA-ZI's were brought into the US after the war. How do I know? For one... again... the historical record bears this out the CIA ran several fronts groups through the administration of Richard Nixon (actually some still exist today) that encouraged and 'arranged' for even war criminals to enter the US after the war, during the 50's and yes... even till 9/11, 2001.

How do you think the German air- plane pilots, boat pilots, truck drivers from the Florida Flight schools and Mohammed Atta got into the country to pull off 'the act so nefarious we can not ask any meaningful questions about it'.

These German Culture associations are still extant today and like I said...

lived a lonely existence in fear, dread and resentment for 25 years as her hus-
band went for to save the world for democracy, but I thought she was very credible. I had never seen her in any movie before, but I thought she did a great job. Great acting all the way around and even though the movie was too long, I was so rapped up in it I didn't bail midway through for a pee break. Wash which is unusual considering how much of that ill elixer Diet Coke I drink.

For intrigue and a look at duplicity run rampant, as a general hose job on Skull and Bones Society at Yale and for the acting I give the movie 5 stars. Personally, I would nominate the poor sap who was dosed with the LSD for some sort of Academy accolade myself. Check it yourself, it was a defining moment for the movie in so many ways.

As for historical accuracy I give in 1 Star. For a rewrite of history I take my hat off to the insidious bastards that wrote the script, for some sort of Academy accolade myself. Check it yourself, it was a defining moment for the movie in so many ways.

For intrigue and a look at duplicity run rampant, as a general hose job on Skull and Bones Society at Yale and for the acting I give the movie 5 stars. Personally, I would nominate the poor sap who was dosed with the LSD for some sort of Academy accolade myself. Check it yourself, it was a defining moment for the movie in so many ways.

As for historical accuracy I give in 1 Star. For a rewrite of history I take my hat off to the insidious bastards that wrote the script, for some sort of Academy accolade myself. Check it yourself, it was a defining moment for the movie in so many ways.

MR.

1 Star. For a rewrite of history I take my hat off to the insidious bastards that wrote the script, for some sort of Academy accolade myself. Check it yourself, it was a defining moment for the movie in so many ways.
The Ten Key Values of the Green Party in English and Spanish

**Grassroots Democracy** - Develop participatory ways to control the decisions which affect our lives.

**Social Justice** - Create a system which promotes equality and dignity for all.

**Nonviolence** - Develop alternatives to current patterns of violence at all levels.

**Ecological Wisdom** - Operate our human society knowing we are a part of nature, and learn to live within the ecological and resource limits of the planet.

**Decentralization** - Move power and responsibility away from larger and more distant institutions toward individuals and communities, with the goal of a decentralized, democratic society.

**Community-Based Economics** - Redesign work to encourage community-based, decentralized, democratic, and resource limits of the planet.

**Sustainability** - Act not for the short range narrow interest of one country or group of people, but for the collective future of the entire planet.

**Feminism** - Replace the ethic of dominance and control with cooperative ways of relating to each other.

**Respect for Diversity** - Honor cultural, ethnic, racial, sexual, religious and spiritual diversity, reclaiming our country’s shared ideals, the dignity of the individual, democratic participation and liberty and justice for all.

**Personal and Global Responsibility** - Learn from and be of genuine assistance to grassroots groups in all parts of the world.

**Economía Basada en la Comunidad** - Rediseño de las estructuras de trabajo para fomentar la propiedad para los empleados y la democracia en el trabajo, al mismo tiempo que se establece una seguridad básica para todos y una distribución justa de la riqueza y los ingresos.

**Feminismo** - Sustituir la ética de dominación y control por la de relaciones de cooperación.

**Respeto por la Diversidad** - Respeto a la diversidad cultural étnica, racial, sexual, religiosa y espiritual, volviendo a los ideales compartidos de nuestro país: la dignidad de cada persona, la participación democrática, y libertad y justicia para todos.

**Responsabilidad Personal y Global** - Debemos aprender de los grupos de base del resto del mundo y ser de verdadera ayuda para ellos.

**Sostenibilidad** - Pense en términos del futuro colectivo del planeta entero, no en los estrechos intereses de corto plazo de un país o grupo de personas.

---

**Dear Reader,**

Blank Space doesn’t appear in newspapers because the editors of the paper are good stewards and generate what is known in the Business World as....

**REVENUE PEOPLE!**

This paper can quickly become **SELF SUFFICIENT** By Placing Advertising Copy from **STRICTLY Green** Business’s!

**Imagine That Folks!**

---

**Be Mindful Unto The 7th Generation**

The Green Party Is Planning On A Sustainable Future for All

But We Need Your Help to Make It Happen

The Green Party of the State of California is working hard to promote candidates and provide financial support to campaigns on the local and regional level that we feel we have a chance of winning, or in which we feel we can make a spectacular showing in the media. Further, we are improving our ability to speak truth to power, provide training programs for candidates and managers across the state and gaining media access through a viable network of spokespersons and a press agent for the party. To do this, and to fulfill our dreams of a permanent office in our state capital, we are looking for people who can step up and become Monthly Sustainers to the Green Party of CA. And as your added benefit, you will receive a free subscription to the GREEN FOCUS newspaper. We invite you to fill out the form below and help grow the party in a meaningful way. Today!

**Sustainers Receive Green Focus - Free**

**We Appreciate Your Support**

Mail To: Green Party of California P.O Box 2828 Sacramento, CA 95812

- Yes! I will proudly donate monthly to the Green Party of California

- [$5 $10 $25 $50 Other $]

- Contributions of $100 or more must by law be returned if we do not have this information on file. Contributions are not tax deductible. Other restrictions on sources of contributions may apply.

- Name:_____

- Address:_____

- City:_____

- State:_____

- Zip:_____

- Home Phone:_____

- Wk:_____

- Email:_____

- Occupation:_____

- Employer:_____

- Credit Card #:_____

- Expires: mm/dd/yy

- Signature:_____

---
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